### PROGRIS RIPORT 20

### Taro Kotani 小谷 太郎

#### 010817

itehu: ~kotani/glast.itehu/txt/010817.kotani2.riport20
http://lheawww.gsfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010817.kotani2.riport20.ps
http://lheawww.gsfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010817.kotani2.riport20.pdf

#### 1 Have Done

- Developed and released a BGD filter set for balloon data.
- Applied the filter set to the balloon flight data.

#### 2 BGD filter set

A BGD filter set (appfilter ver. 1.0) for BFEM data is released. It is available at

http://lheawww.gsfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/bgdfilter/appfilter.1.0.tar.gz. The analysis of BFEM data with the package is described in

http://lheawww.gsfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/bgdfilter. The package also includes (an imperfect copy of) the AO filter set for PDR data produced with pdrApp, so you may use the package for PDR data.

Can't access to the web site? It's maybe due to the Code-Red-II-worm panic. Try

ftp://lheaftp.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/kotani/bgdfilter/appfilter.1.0.tar.gz, which is accessible only day time (EDT), though.

The filter set in appfilter ver. 1.0 is shown in Table 1. Since the configuration of the ACD tiles of the BFEM is different from that of the GLAST, a new veto code for the BFEM is necessary. A temporal simple veto logic, which cuts events with any ACD hits, is adopted for the current version. This filter is not so intellectual and to be educated. The reconstruction information is not used in the current filter set and to be used. You needs the information if you want a filter based on DOCA.

It should be noted that RootWriter v5r6p1 doesn't write some Cal NTuples to files. If you apply appfilter ver. 1.0 to a file missing the Cal NTuples, you will encounter errors due to missing NTuples.

## 3 Filtering the BFEM Flight Data

appfilter ver 1.0 is applied to the BFEM flight data, so called Run 53, 54, and 55. A preliminary result is shown in Table 2.

Hmm... Something strange. It is obvious that the BFEM-ACD filter doesn't work at all. Why is the number of lit tile so small? Is the threshold correct? It must be checked. The CAL-info filter doesn't seem working, too. I'm waiting for the Cal Team to release a new calibration data. The new data are necessary to make bfemApp to output meaningful Cal Ntuples. The ratio of remaining events changes with time. Is this change consistent with that of source? It must be studied.

The numbers in Table 2 may seem poor, but they are being improved.

## 4 To Do

- Improve the BFEM-ACD filter. Is the threshold correct?
- $\bullet\,$  Compare the results with the simulation data.
- Develop a BFEM filter set optimized for the balloon flight set up.
- Don't forget about the albedo particle rate study. The deadline is 2001/08/26.

# References

 $[1]\ Kotani, 2000/07/25, Riport\ 18, http://lheawww.gsfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani2.riport18.psfc.nasa.gov/users/kotani/glast/010725.kotani/glast/g$ 

#### Table 1: BGD filter set for BFEM (appfilter ver. 1.0)

These definitions/codes are under development and subject to change. filter() returns an array of Aux\_CutFlag with a size equal to the number of the events. If a filter finds a BGD event which doesn't meet the condition below, the corresponding bit of Aux\_CutFlag is set to be 1. If you apply appfilter ver. 1.0 for PDR data, see Table 5 in Riport 18 for the definition of the filter set.

						2. Surplus. Hit. Ratio > 0.3    (Cal. Energy. Deposit > 10 <sup>3</sup> && TKR. First. XHit > 13)    Cal. Energy. Deposit > 5 × 10 <sup>3</sup>	Xtal_Ratio>0.25		alft $g,g_r$  TKR Fit Kink  $> \log  f_t $	35 / UTVP E: UTVP E: UNIV	(car_conf_energy > 0 && 1 NAL_f_angre	$\frac{2.18}{m_{1}} + \frac{2.18}{m_{2}}$	Zuit VCorr_Energy   1 KK_Gamma_Zdir		$yer0/Cal\_Energy\_Deposit > 0.25$	(s < 1)	$0 \sin (> 75 \times 10^3 \parallel TKR\_First\_XHit < 12)$	
Code/Definition	Omitted	Omitted	Omitted	$ACD\_TileCount = 0$	Omitted	REC_Surplus_Hit_Ratio > 0.3    (Cal_Energy_Depc	{Cal_Xtal_Ratio>0.25    Cal_No_Xtals < 1} && {(Cal Fuerry Denosit < 10 <sup>3</sup> && Cal Fit errN	TKR cust > 10 & & Xr Xr TKR Gamma zdir + 0	Ref. (Cal Corr Energy > 0 8.8, TKB + angle < kalfit 8.8, TKB Fit Kinkl < kalfit)	Confederated / Conf	$\  (car-corr-pinerg) \ge 0 $ & & 1 NN-L-angre $-1$	$\text{kalfit} = 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \times (\frac{C_{\text{cons}} \text{ Examery TRB } C_{\text{consens}}}{3.0} + \frac{C_{\text{consens}}}{C_{\text{consens}}} \frac{2.18}{2.18}$	Correlaby   Tare-Gailling.	$\{ \text{Cal\_Energy\_Deposit} = 0 \mid  $	(Cal_eLayer7/Cal_Energy_Deposit<0.08    Cal_eLayer0/Cal_Energy_Deposit > 0.25	$\parallel$ Cal_Energy_Deposit > 350 $\parallel$ Cal_No_Xtals < 1)}	&& {Cal_No_Xtals_Trunc<20. $\parallel$ Cal_Energy_Deposit> $75\times10^3$ $\parallel$ TKR_First_XHit<12}	&& {Cal Z> $-30$ . $\parallel$ Cal No_Xtals<1}
Aux_CutFlag Cod	$2^0$	$2^{1}$	$2^2$	$2^{14}$	$2^{16}$	$2^{17}$	$2^{18}$	919	1				020	7=7				
Name	LIT	L2T	L3T	BFEM ACD	New DOCA	Hit Pattern	m CAL~Info	Track Onality	(Tose's filter)	(10011 0 000 6)			0 27:0 4 1-1 10/18	S/C Ind. Ev. Cuts 0			2	3

 ${\it Table~2:~Filtered~BFEM~flight~data} \\ {\it It~should~be~noted~that~this~is~preliminary.} \ {\it The~number~and~ratio~of~remaining~BGD}$ events are shown. The "independent" column shows the effect of each filter applied solely, and the "accumulative" column shows the effect of all the filters applied in turn. Run 53 was taken on ground and run 54 and 55 was in flight.

tarn. Itan 99 was	D 19									
	Run 53									
	Independent		Accumulative							
${ m L1T}$	1	30530	1	30530						
BFEM ACD	$(9.960 \pm 0.057) \times 10^{-1}$	30409	$(9.960 \pm 0.057) \times 10^{-1}$	30409						
Hit Pattern	$(2.511 \pm 0.029) \times 10^{-1}$	7667	$(2.498 \pm 0.029) \times 10^{-1}$	7627						
CAL Info	$(9.999 \pm 0.057) \times 10^{-1}$	30527	$(2.497 \pm 0.029) \times 10^{-1}$	7624						
Track Quality	$(7.652 \pm 0.050) \times 10^{-1}$	23362	$(1.718 \pm 0.024) \times 10^{-1}$	5244						
S/C Induced Event	$(1.800 \pm 0.024) \times 10^{-1}$	5495	$(5.56 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-2}$	1697						
	Independent		${f Accumulative}$							
L1T	1	109867	1	109867						
BFEM ACD	$(9.576 \pm 0.030) \times 10^{-1}$	105209	$(9.576 \pm 0.030) \times 10^{-1}$	105209						
Hit Pattern	$(3.294 \pm 0.017) \times 10^{-1}$	36193	$(3.167 \pm 0.017) \times 10^{-1}$	34793						
$\operatorname{CAL}$ Info	$(9.990 \pm 0.030) \times 10^{-1}$	109754	$(3.160 \pm 0.017) \times 10^{-1}$	34722						
Track Quality	$(5.411 \pm 0.022) \times 10^{-1}$	59450	$(1.511 \pm 0.012) \times 10^{-1}$	16604						
S/C Induced Event	$(2.282 \pm 0.014) \times 10^{-1}$	25067	$(6.505 \pm 0.077) \times 10^{-2}$	7147						
	Run 55									
	Independent		Accumulative							
L1T	1	104819	1	104819						
BFEM ACD	$(9.237 \pm 0.030) \times 10^{-1}$	96826	$(9.237 \pm 0.030) \times 10^{-1}$	96826						
Hit Pattern	$(3.173 \pm 0.017) \times 10^{-1}$	33260	$(2.956 \pm 0.017) \times 10^{-1}$	30989						
CAL Info	1	104819	$(2.956 \pm 0.017) \times 10^{-1}$	30989						
Track Quality	$(5.769 \pm 0.023) \times 10^{-1}$	60473	$(1.564 \pm 0.012) \times 10^{-1}$	16391						
S/C Induced Event	1	104819	$(1.564 \pm 0.012) \times 10^{-1}$	16391						